

## Assignments to Condensed Matter Theory I

### Sheet 10

G. Cuniberti (Phy 4.1.29)  
A. Donarini (Phy 3.1.24)

Room H35  
Mon 10.07 (h 10.15)

---

sheet online: <http://www-MCG.uni-R.de/teaching/>

### Problem set: Electron-Electron Interaction

#### 10.1. Occupation number representation

Let us consider a fermionic system with two single particle states  $|\phi_1\rangle$  and  $|\phi_2\rangle$  that span the (two-dimensional) single particle Hilbert space.

- (a) Which dimension has the two-particle Hilbert space? Which dimension has the Fock space? Write down the form of the basis of the Fock space explicitly as Slater determinants of the states  $\phi_1$ ,  $\phi_2$  and in the occupation number representation.
- (b) Calculate in this basis the matrix representation of the creation and annihilation operators  $c_i, c_i^\dagger$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and also of the occupation operators  $n_i = c_i^\dagger c_i$
- (c) Calculate the anticommutator relations

$$[c_i, c_j]_+ = [c_i^\dagger, c_j^\dagger]_+ = 0; \quad [c_i, c_j^\dagger]_+ = \delta_{ij}$$

explicitly using matrix multiplication of the matrices calculated at point (b).

- (d) Consider an Hamilton operator

$$H = T + V$$

where  $T$  is a single particle operator and  $V$  a two particle one. With respect to the single particle basis  $|\phi_i\rangle$  the matrix elements are:

$$\langle \phi_i | T | \phi_i \rangle = \epsilon; \quad \langle \phi_i | T | \phi_j \rangle = t \text{ for } i \neq j$$

$${}^{(1)}\langle \phi_1 | {}^{(2)}\langle \phi_2 | V | \phi_2 \rangle {}^{(2)} | \phi_1 \rangle {}^{(1)} = U; \quad {}^{(1)}\langle \phi_1 | {}^{(2)}\langle \phi_2 | V | \phi_1 \rangle {}^{(2)} | \phi_2 \rangle {}^{(1)} = J$$

where the notation is such that, *e.g.*:

$${}^{(1)}\langle \phi_1 | {}^{(2)}\langle \phi_2 | V | \phi_1 \rangle {}^{(2)} | \phi_2 \rangle {}^{(1)} \equiv \frac{1}{V^2} \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \phi_1^*(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi_2^*(\mathbf{r}_2) V(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \phi_1(\mathbf{r}_2) \phi_2(\mathbf{r}_1)$$

Write the operator  $H$  in second quantization and in the matrix representation (starting from the single particle basis introduced). Calculate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors for  $H$ .

- (e) Again, write  $H$  in second quantization, but this time as a single particle basis use the eigenvectors of  $T$ . Which is the connection between this creation and annihilation operators and the ones considered in the points (a)-(d)? Is this a unitary transformation?

## 10.2. Wick's theorem

- (a) Show that, for a system of non-interacting fermions described by the Hamiltonian in the eigenvalue basis

$$H = \sum_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha},$$

the following relation for the many-body grandcanonical expectation values holds:

$$\langle c_{\alpha_1}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_2}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_3} c_{\alpha_4} \rangle = \langle c_{\alpha_1}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_4} \rangle \langle c_{\alpha_2}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_3} \rangle \delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_4} \delta_{\alpha_2 \alpha_3} - \langle c_{\alpha_1}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_3} \rangle \langle c_{\alpha_2}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_4} \rangle \delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_3} \delta_{\alpha_2 \alpha_4},$$

where

$$\langle c_{\alpha_1}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_2}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_3} c_{\alpha_4} \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{Z} \text{Tr} \{ c_{\alpha_1}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_2}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_3} c_{\alpha_4} \exp[-\beta(H - \mu N)] \}$$

and  $Z$  is the grandcanonical partition function. The trace is taken over the full Fock space.

- (b) Derive from point (a) that, for non-interacting fermions, in every other given single particle basis  $\{|n\rangle\}$  the following relation holds:

$$\langle c_{n_1}^{\dagger} c_{n_2}^{\dagger} c_{n_3} c_{n_4} \rangle = \langle c_{n_1}^{\dagger} c_{n_4} \rangle \langle c_{n_2}^{\dagger} c_{n_3} \rangle - \langle c_{n_1}^{\dagger} c_{n_3} \rangle \langle c_{n_2}^{\dagger} c_{n_4} \rangle.$$

Note that this is valid even if in this basis the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{n,m} t_{nm} c_n^{\dagger} c_m$$

would contain non-diagonal terms  $t_{nm}$  for  $n \neq m$ .

**Hint:** Diagonalize first  $H$  using a unitary transformation  $c_n = \sum_{\alpha} u_{n\alpha} c_{\alpha}$ . Apply then the equation proved in point (a). Finally perform the canonical transformation in the opposite direction.

## 10.3. [Kür] Model of two interacting particles in 1D

Let us consider two interacting particles to model a helium atom in 1D. In properly chosen dimensionless coordinates, write the first quantization Hamiltonian as:

$$H = -\frac{d^2}{dx_1^2} - 2V(|x_1|) - \frac{d^2}{dx_2^2} - 2V(|x_2|) + V(|x_1 - x_2|)$$

where

$$V(x) = \frac{2}{x + \delta}$$

is the "truncated" one dimensional Coulomb potential. The factor of two in the single particle potential is due to the double positive charge of the "Helium" nucleus.

- (a) Calculate the Hamiltonian in second quantization in the form:

$$H = \sum_{i,\sigma} \epsilon_i c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4, \sigma \sigma'} u_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4} c_{i_1 \sigma}^\dagger c_{i_2 \sigma'}^\dagger c_{i_3 \sigma'} c_{i_4 \sigma}$$

relative to the basis  $|i\rangle$  of the eigenvectors of the single particle Hamiltonian. The single particle eigenfunctions  $\phi_i(x) = \langle x|i\rangle$  fulfill the Schrödinger equation:

$$\left( -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - 2V(|x|) \right) \phi_i(x) = \epsilon_i \phi_i(x).$$

In other words you have to calculate the eigenvalues  $\epsilon_i$  and the Coulomb matrix elements  $u$  for  $i, i_l \in \{1, 2\}$  numerically.

**Hint:** For the numerical calculation discretize the space  $x \rightarrow x_n$  with  $n = 1, \dots, N$ . Now the wave-function is a vector since  $\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(x_n)$ . The discrete version of the derivative now reads  $\phi'(x_n) = (\phi(x_{n+1}) - \phi(x_{n-1})) / (x_{n+1} - x_{n-1})$ . Work out analogously the second derivative and, finally, remember that the potential operator acts locally  $(V\phi)(x) = V(x)\phi(x)$ . Put all together and you have transformed the single particle Schrödinger equation into an algebraic equation that can be solved numerically.

- (b) Calculate the lowest energy two-particle eigenstates exactly and in Hartree-Fock approximation under the assumption that you can consider only the lowest single particle quantum number (that is  $i, i_l \in \{1, 2\}$ ). Treat separately the singlet- (antiparallel spins, “ortho-helium”) and the triplet-case (total spin 1, “para-helium”).

SOLUTION OF THE EXERCISES FOR THE SHEET 10 OF QTKM1

10.1 OCCUPATION NUMBER REPRESENTATION

$$|\phi_1\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |\phi_2\rangle$$

a) The two-particle Hilbert space has dimension 1 since, due to Pauli exclusion principle  $|\phi_1\rangle^{(1)}|\phi_2\rangle^{(2)} = |\phi_1\rangle^{(2)}|\phi_2\rangle^{(1)}$  is the only possible state.

The Fock space instead is the sum of Hilbert spaces with different number of particles. In particular in our case the system cannot fit more than 2 particles.

| # particles | basis   | dim ( $H_N$ ) |
|-------------|---|---------------|
| 0           | $ 0\rangle$   | 1             |
| 1           | $ \phi_1\rangle,  \phi_2\rangle$  | 2             |
| 2           | $ \phi_1\rangle^{(1)} \phi_2\rangle^{(2)} =  \phi_1\rangle^{(2)} \phi_2\rangle^{(1)}$ | 1             |

4 ← dimension of the Fock space.

The explicit form of the basis

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x|0\rangle &= 0 \\ \langle x|\phi_1\rangle &= \phi_1(x) \\ \langle x|\phi_2\rangle &= \phi_2(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\det \begin{vmatrix} \phi_1(x_1) & \phi_2(x_1) \\ \phi_1(x_2) & \phi_2(x_2) \end{vmatrix} = \phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2) - \phi_1(x_2)\phi_2(x_1)$$

|               |
|---------------|
| $ 0,0\rangle$ |
| $ 1,0\rangle$ |
| $ 0,1\rangle$ |
| $ 1,1\rangle$ |

→ occupation number representation.

b)

$$\boxed{c_1^+}$$

$$c_1^+ |0,0\rangle = |1,0\rangle$$

$$c_1^+ |1,0\rangle = 0$$

$$c_1^+ |0,1\rangle = c_1^+ c_2^+ |0,0\rangle = |1,1\rangle$$

$$c_1^+ |1,1\rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1^+ = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$c_1 = (c_1^+)^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$n_1 = c_1^{\dagger} c_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

As it should be the expectation value of  $n_1$  is counting exactly how many  $\phi_1$  particles are in the state.

Analogously

$$c_2^+ = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note the minus!

$$c_2^+ |1,0\rangle = c_2^+ c_1^+ |0,0\rangle = -|1,1\rangle$$

$$c_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$n_2 = c_2^{\dagger} c_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

c)

$$[c_1, c_1]_+ = 2c_1^2 = 2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 = 0$$

$$[c_1, c_2]_+ = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$[c_2, c_1]_+ = [c_1, c_2]_+ = 0$$

$$[c_2, c_2]_+ = 2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 = 0$$

$$[c_i^+, c_i^+]_+ = [c_i, c_i]_+^+ = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{for } [c_i^+, c_j^+]_+ = 0 = [c_i, c_j]_+^+$$

$$[c_1, c_2^+]_+ = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0 = [c_1, c_2^+]_+^+ = [c_1^+, c_2]_+ = [c_2, c_1^+]_+$$

$$[c_1, c_1^+]_+ = c_1 c_1^+ + c_1^+ c_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + n_1 =$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 4$$

$$[c_2, c_2^+]_+ = c_2 c_2^+ + c_2^+ c_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + n_2 =$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 4$$

d) Given the first quantization single particle operator  $T$ , in second quantization we write:

$$T^{\text{II}} = \sum_{ij} c_i^\dagger T_{ij} c_j = \varepsilon (c_1^\dagger c_1 + c_2^\dagger c_2) + t (c_1^\dagger c_2 + c_2^\dagger c_1)$$

For the two particle operator  $V$ :

$$V^{\text{II}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijkl} c_i^\dagger c_j^\dagger \langle \phi_i | \langle \phi_j | V | \phi_k \rangle | \phi_l \rangle c_k c_l$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ c_2^\dagger c_2^\dagger V c_2 c_1 + c_2^\dagger c_1^\dagger V c_1 c_2 + c_1^\dagger c_2^\dagger J c_2 c_1 + c_2^\dagger c_1^\dagger J c_2 c_1 \right]$$

$$= (U - J) c_1^\dagger c_1 c_2^\dagger c_2$$

In matrix:

$$H = \varepsilon \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + t \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + (U - J) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon & t & 0 \\ 0 & t & \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\varepsilon + U - J \end{bmatrix}$$

Eigenvalues and eigenvectors for  $H$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with eigenvalue } 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with eigenvalue } \varepsilon + t$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{"} \quad \varepsilon - t$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{"} \quad 2\varepsilon + U - J$$

e) The easiest way to solve this problem is to find the connection between the 2 basis.

$$|\Phi_e\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\Phi_1\rangle + |\Phi_2\rangle) \quad \text{and} \quad T|\Phi_e\rangle = \varepsilon + t |\Phi_e\rangle$$

$$c_e^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (c_1^+ + c_2^+) \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_1^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (c_e^+ + c_r^+)$$

$$c_r^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (c_1^+ - c_2^+) \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_2^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (c_e^+ - c_r^+)$$

Since the 2 particle state is only one-dimensional

$$c_e^+ c_r^+ |0\rangle = \alpha c_1^+ c_2^+ |0\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha| = 1$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (c_1^+ + c_2^+) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (c_1^+ - c_2^+) |0\rangle = \frac{1}{2} (-c_1^+ c_2^+ + c_2^+ c_1^+) |0\rangle = -c_1^+ c_2^+ |0\rangle$$

$$c_e^+ c_r^+ = -c_1^+ c_2^+ \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_e^+ c_r^+ = c_2^+ c_1^+ \quad c_r^+ c_e^+ = c_1^+ c_2^+$$

$$c_r c_e = c_1 c_2 \quad c_e c_r = c_2 c_1$$

$$H = (\varepsilon + t) c_e^+ c_e + (\varepsilon - t) c_r^+ c_r + (U - J) c_e^+ c_e c_r^+ c_r$$

## 10.2 Wick's theorem

a) 
$$H = \sum_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle c_{\alpha_1}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_2}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_3} c_{\alpha_4} \rangle &= \frac{1}{Z} \text{Tr} \left\{ c_{\alpha_1}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_2}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_3} c_{\alpha_4} \exp[-\beta(H - \mu N)] \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\{n_i\}} \langle \{n_i\} | c_{\alpha_1}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_2}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_3} c_{\alpha_4} \exp[-\beta \sum_{\alpha} (\varepsilon_{\alpha} - \mu) c_{\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha}] | \{n_i\} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\{n_i\}} \langle \{n_i\} | c_{\alpha_1}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_2}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_3} c_{\alpha_4} | \{n_i\} \rangle \prod_i e^{-\beta(\varepsilon_{\alpha_i} - \mu)n_i} \end{aligned}$$

if  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_4$  and  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3$   
or  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_3$  and  $\alpha_2 = \alpha_4$

the result is different from zero. Since  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4$  has a zero result  $\Rightarrow$  the 2

conditions are complementary and the sum is justified.

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\{n_i\}} \left( \langle \{n_i\} | c_{\alpha_1}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_2}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_3} c_{\alpha_4} | \{n_i\} \rangle \delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_4} \delta_{\alpha_2 \alpha_3} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \langle \{n_i\} | c_{\alpha_1}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_2}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha_3} c_{\alpha_4} | \{n_i\} \rangle \delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_3} \delta_{\alpha_2 \alpha_4} \right) \prod_i e^{-\beta(\varepsilon_{\alpha_i} - \mu)n_i} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\{n_i\}} \langle \{n_i\} | \hat{n}_{\alpha_1} \hat{n}_{\alpha_2} | \{n_i\} \rangle \left( \delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_4} \delta_{\alpha_2 \alpha_3} - \delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_3} \delta_{\alpha_2 \alpha_4} \right) \prod_i e^{-\beta(\varepsilon_{\alpha_i} - \mu)n_i}$$

Here comes the real separation of the averages. We are left with the evaluation of

$$\langle \hat{n}_{\alpha_1} \hat{n}_{\alpha_2} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \text{Tr} \left\{ \hat{n}_{\alpha_1} \hat{n}_{\alpha_2} e^{-\beta(H - \mu N)} \right\}.$$

We know that for a system of non-interacting fermions

$$\langle \hat{n}_{\alpha} \rangle = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta(\varepsilon_{\alpha} - \mu)}}$$

From this it follows that:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_\beta} \langle \hat{n}_\alpha \rangle = 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta$$

$$0 = -\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{\alpha_2}} \langle \hat{n}_{\alpha_1} \rangle = \frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varepsilon_{\alpha_2} \partial \varepsilon_{\alpha_1}} \ln Z =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{\alpha_2}} \left[ \frac{1}{Z} \text{Tr} \left\{ \hat{n}_{\alpha_1} e^{-\beta(H-MN)} \right\} \right] = -\frac{1}{\beta} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{\alpha_2}} \left( \frac{1}{Z} \right) \text{Tr} \left\{ \right\} + \frac{1}{Z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_{\alpha_2}} \text{Tr} \left\{ \right\} \right]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{Z^2} \text{Tr} \left\{ \hat{n}_{\alpha_2} e^{-\beta(H-MN)} \right\} \text{Tr} \left\{ \hat{n}_{\alpha_1} e^{-\beta(H-MN)} \right\} + \frac{1}{Z} \text{Tr} \left\{ \hat{n}_{\alpha_1} \hat{n}_{\alpha_2} e^{-\beta(H-MN)} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \hat{n}_{\alpha_1} \hat{n}_{\alpha_2} \rangle = \langle \hat{n}_{\alpha_1} \rangle \langle \hat{n}_{\alpha_2} \rangle.$$

This concludes the proof of Wick's theorem for a diagonal Hamiltonian:

$$\langle c_{\alpha_1}^\dagger c_{\alpha_2}^\dagger c_{\alpha_3} c_{\alpha_4} \rangle = \langle c_{\alpha_1}^\dagger c_{\alpha_4} \rangle \langle c_{\alpha_2}^\dagger c_{\alpha_3} \rangle \delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_4} \delta_{\alpha_2 \alpha_3} - \langle c_{\alpha_1}^\dagger c_{\alpha_3} \rangle \langle c_{\alpha_2}^\dagger c_{\alpha_4} \rangle \delta_{\alpha_1 \alpha_3} \delta_{\alpha_2 \alpha_4}.$$

b)

$$\langle c_{n_1}^\dagger c_{n_2}^\dagger c_{n_3} c_{n_4} \rangle = \langle c_{n_1}^\dagger c_{n_4} \rangle \langle c_{n_2}^\dagger c_{n_3} \rangle - \langle c_{n_1}^\dagger c_{n_3} \rangle \langle c_{n_2}^\dagger c_{n_4} \rangle$$

$$\langle c_{n_1}^\dagger c_{n_2}^\dagger c_{n_3} c_{n_4} \rangle = \sum_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4} U_{n_1 \alpha_1}^* U_{n_2 \alpha_2}^* U_{n_3 \alpha_3} U_{n_4 \alpha_4} \langle c_{\alpha_1}^\dagger c_{\alpha_2}^\dagger c_{\alpha_3} c_{\alpha_4} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4} U_{n_1 \alpha_1}^* U_{n_2 \alpha_2}^* U_{n_3 \alpha_3} U_{n_4 \alpha_4} \left( \langle c_{\alpha_1}^\dagger c_{\alpha_4} \rangle \langle c_{\alpha_2}^\dagger c_{\alpha_3} \rangle - \langle c_{\alpha_1}^\dagger c_{\alpha_3} \rangle \langle c_{\alpha_2}^\dagger c_{\alpha_4} \rangle \right)$$

(the  $\delta$  functions are actually redundant)

$$= \langle c_{n_1}^\dagger c_{n_4} \rangle \langle c_{n_2}^\dagger c_{n_3} \rangle - \langle c_{n_1}^\dagger c_{n_3} \rangle \langle c_{n_2}^\dagger c_{n_4} \rangle.$$